UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,230	04/22/2005	Raymond Wehner	80187-402	8378
Ade & Compan	7590 04/25/200 Y	EXAMINER		
1700-360 Main Street			ENSEY, BRIAN	
Winnipeg Manitoba, R3C 3Z3 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,230	WEHNER, RAYMOND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian Ensey	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set

Art Unit: 2615

forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves

modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred

modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the

invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

(1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;

(2) if an article, its method of making;

(3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility

application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include

the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without

underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase

"Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

Art Unit: 2615

(b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Multiple pages of the specification include footnotes. Footnotes are not appropriate for printing of the patent when

issued. It is recommended to include the content of the footnotes in the specification or to submit the appropriate references as non-patent literature in an information disclosure statement..

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: See page 6, lines 18 and 19, "microphone applied 87". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim1-21 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention is directed to a microphone in a cylindrical housing having elliptical end faces. Independent claim 1 identifies the uniquely distinct feature of cylindrical microphone housing having two non-parallel elliptical end face oriented mirror symmetrically with respect to a plane

Application/Control Number: 10/532,230

Page 6

Art Unit: 2615

perpendicular to the lateral axis, two transducer mounting plates perpendicular to the lateral axis and two sound damping tragus pads secured to inner faces of respective end panels in combination with all the disclosed limitations of claim 1. Independent claim 2 identifies the uniquely distinct feature of a hearing aid comprising a cylindrical microphone housing having two non-parallel elliptical end face oriented mirror symmetrically with respect to a plane perpendicular to the lateral axis mounted on an eyeglass frame with a horizontal lateral axis and the elliptical end faces converging forwardly and downwardly in combination with all the disclosed limitations of claim 2. Independent claim 3 identifies the uniquely distinct feature of a hearing aid comprising a cylindrical housing and an elliptical end face and an earpiece for mounting the housing on a human ear with the lateral axis substantially horizontal and the long axis of the elliptical end face sloping downwardly to the front in combination with all the disclosed limitations of claim 3. The closest prior art, Whener (5,666,433) discloses a microphone comprising a cylindrical microphone housing having two non-parallel elliptical end face oriented mirror symmetrically with respect to a plane perpendicular to the lateral axis and two transducer mounting plates but fails to teach the mounting plates are perpendicular to the lateral axis and two sound damping tragus pads secured to inner faces of respective end panels and Wehner (US 4836326) discloses an omniphonic microphone system comprising a cylindrical housing with two transducer mounting plates perpendicular to the lateral axis but fails to teach the housing two non-parallel elliptical end face oriented mirror symmetrically with respect to a plane perpendicular to the lateral axis and two sound damping tragus pads secured to inner faces of respective end panels. The prior art fails to anticipate or render the independent claims obvious.

Art Unit: 2615

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Errors in the drawings and disclosure as detailed above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS**

from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Ensey whose telephone number is 571-272-7496. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2615

(571) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Arlington, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian Ensey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2615 April 24, 2008